

Towards a useful model for pseudo atrophy correction in monoclonal antibody clinical trials on Alzheimer’s disease

Neil P. Oxtoby¹, Bojidar Rangelov¹, Michael C. Donohue²

¹University College London (United Kingdom), ²University of Southern California (USA)



Background

- ARPA: Amyloid-removal Related Pseudo Atrophy
- Volume loss in treatment arm exceeds placebo arm (e.g., whole brain GM)

$$\hat{V}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{V_0} (V(t) - V_0)$$

eq. (1)

GRADUATE I [1]

Baseline brain volume (cm³)
Placebo 1304.17 Gantenerumab 1309.97

Difference at week 116:
-0.32 (-0.5 to -0.14); Relative diff. = 12%

Adj. Mean (±SE) Percent Change from Baseline

Weeks: 0, 48, 104, 116

Placebo

Gantenerumab

- Current thinking [2]: caused by dissolution of amyloid plaques and their conjoining gliosis-related or inflammation-related supportive tissue

We developed a computational model of atrophy rates as a function of amyloid level, trained on ADNI data

Objectives

- Develop a mathematical model of amyloid-related atrophy rates
- Predict atrophy outcomes in recent MAB trials

Ultimate goal: a model for adjusting volumetric biomarkers in trials

The Model, part 1: Atrophy Equation

Differential Equation: brain volume as function of amyloid level:

$$\frac{dV(t)}{dt} = -\alpha (r + aC(t)) V(t)$$

$\alpha \equiv \frac{R_p}{r + aC_p(t)}$

Adjustment by the placebo arm allows for demographic/etc. differences between the trial and the model training data used to estimate r and a (see Model, part 2).

Substitute in for α :

$$\frac{dV(t)}{dt} = -R_p \frac{r + aC(t)}{r + a_p C_p(t)} V(t)$$

Take linear approx. (OK for 2–3 years): $C \approx \bar{C}$ (avg. CL), and solve the DE:

$$\hat{V}_{TX}(t) = -R_p \frac{r + a\bar{C}_{TX}}{r + a_p \bar{C}_p} t$$

Key:

- Measurement/observation
- Amyloid-independent atrophy
- Amyloid-related extra atrophy
- TX: randomised arm
- P: placebo arm

Cases:

- $\bar{C}_A \approx \bar{C}_P$: no pseudo atrophy; identical placebo/active curves
- $\bar{C}_A < \bar{C}_P$ (amyloid removal) => predicts slower atrophy in active arm
- $C \equiv C_p$: predicts the observed placebo arm atrophy (R_p)

The Model, part 2: Parameter Estimation

Our atrophy equation has parameters, which we estimate from ADNI observational data using a:

Linear Mixed Model for volume change over time.

- LMM Parameters:
 - V_0 : grand intercept volume
 - + random effect == individual-level i (visit j)
 - + adjusted for AGE, SEX, ICV (intracranial volume == head size)
 - r : atrophy rate, amyloid-independent (at “normal amyloid level”) + random effect == individual-level atrophy
 - a : additional atrophy rate, due to additional amyloid + random effect == individual-level atrophy

$$V_{ij}(t) = V_0 - r t_{ij} - a C(t_{ij}) t_{ij} + \beta_{age} age_i + \beta_{sex} sex_i + \beta_{ICV} ICV_i + b_{0i} + b_{1i} t_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

Notes:

- Amyloid $C(t_{ij})$ is measured in CL for definiteness
- Fit restricted to 120 weeks (\geq most MAB trials)
- Does not include diagnostic group

Results

LMM fitting (ADNI data: 215 A+, 180 A–)

- Amyloid-independent whole-brain atrophy: $r = 6.6 \text{ mL/year}$
- ~1% increase in atrophy per Centiloid > 0: $a = 0.063 \text{ mL/year/CL}$

Table 1. End of trial volume: Active minus Placebo

- Positive => favours treatment
- Negative => favours placebo (pseudo atrophy)

Trial	Duration (weeks)	Amyloid removal (Active arm)	Model prediction	Reported ARPA
GRADUATE-I (gantenerumab)	116	94 → 28 CL	+5.8 mL	– 4.2 mL
TRAILBLAZER-ALZ-2 (donanemab)	76	103 → 16 CL	+5.5 mL	– 6.5 mL
EMERGE (aducanumab)	78	76 → 14 CL	+3.8 mL	– 2 mL*
GRADUATE-II (gantenerumab)	116	96 → 40 CL	+3.6 mL	– 4.7 mL
ENGAGE (aducanumab)	78	77 → 23 CL	+3.2 mL	– 0 mL*
CLARITY-AD (lecanemab)	79	78 → 19 CL	+2.5 mL	– 4.1 mL

* ARPA estimated (not reported by the study)

Observations:

- The model always predicts lower atrophy in the placebo arm => because amyloid was always removed in these trials, and amyloid increases atrophy
- It’s not (yet!) designed to be a directly-applicable “correction” to ARPA

Discussion

- A fun model that separates amyloid-related atrophy from amyloid-independent atrophy
- Could it be operationalised in clinical trials?
 - Maybe — depends on what we (and regulators!) want to see in volumetric outcomes
- Nothing mechanistic in the model
 - We still don’t understand exactly what happens to brain tissue when amyloid plaques are dissolved, c.f., ENGAGE vs EMERGE or GRADUATE I vs II
 - Let alone differences between drugs...

NEXT STEPS:

- Consider mechanisms?
- Operationalise? Individual-level: adjust measured brain volume(s) by random effects

References:

[1] (gantenerumab): Bateman, et al., NEJM 389, 1862 (2023); DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2304430

[2] Barkhof and Knopman, Neurology 100, 942 (2023); DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000207268

[3] (donanemab): Sims, et al., JAMA (2023); DOI: 10.1001/jama.2023.13239

[4] (aducanumab): Budd Haeberlein, et al., J Prev Alz Dis (2022); DOI: 10.14283/jpad.2022.30

[5] (lecanemab): van Dyck, et al., NEJM 388, 9 (2023); DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2212948